100/-

(2)

4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 3894 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Shiv Shankar Ram, Son of Late Shri Lekhuram, aged about 58 years, Occupation-Service, Presently posted as Accountant in Janpad Panchayat Udaipur, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3978/06
Presented by Lavish Tiwari
dated 26/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Collector, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

3. Indra Kumar Singh Son of Note Known, aged about 37 years, Assistant Grade-II, Tahsil Pratappur, District Surguja (C.G.).

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/96 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh 26/12/06

CERTIFIED COPY 29/12/06 H. C. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

20

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P. No. 3894/2006 ..... **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

07.08.2006.

Shri Vipin Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1and 2, on advance copy.

Heard.

By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged the transfer order dated 07.07.2006 (Annexure-P/1) whereby he has been transferred from Block Udaipur to Block Pratappur.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that he is an Accountant and respondent No.3 who is Asst. Grade-II and is not an Accountant, is being transferred on the post of Accountant where the petitioner is presently working. The petitioner is being transferred in order to accommodate respondent No.3 as respondent No.3 was transferred from Udaipur just before three months i.e. in the month of April 2006. In the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No. 2 – Collector, Ambikapur, District Surguja and in turn, he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for the State/respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstance of the case and without expressing any opinion on merit of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation annexing copy of the instant writ petition, raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 – Collector

(पीछे देखिये)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3894/2006 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 after considering all the objections raised by the petitioner, shall decide the same within a period of 30 days from the date of its receipt, in accordance with law. In the meantime, if the petitioner has not been relieved till date, then he shall not be relieved till the representation submitted by him is decided.

With the directions aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.). P. No.3039 of 2006 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

(पीछे देखिये)